

## HOMOTOPY COHERENT MULTIPLICATIONS & LOOP SPACES

### 1) Loop spaces & H-spaces

Prob: The notion of top monoid is often too strong.  
Consider e.g. for a based space  $X$  its loop space:

$$\Omega X = \{\text{based maps } S^1 \rightarrow X\}$$

We have multiplication by concatenation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega X \times \Omega X & \longrightarrow & \Omega X \\ \text{[red]} \quad \text{[green]} & \longmapsto & \text{[red+green]} \end{array}$$

This is not strictly associative/unital,  
but only 'up to homotopy':

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{[red]} \quad \text{[green]} \quad \text{[blue]} \\ \longleftarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \text{[red+green]} \cdot \text{[blue]} \end{array}$$

Def: An H-space is a based space  $(M, \mu)$   
together with a based multiplication

$$\begin{array}{c} \mu: M \times M \longrightarrow M \\ (x, x') \longmapsto x \cdot x' \end{array}$$

which is H-unital, i.e. there are based homotopies

$$\begin{array}{c} M \xrightarrow{\mu_0} M \\ (x, x') \longmapsto x \cdot x' \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ M^2 \xrightarrow{\mu_1} M \end{array}$$

We define special properties:

$$\begin{array}{cc} \textcircled{1} \text{ H-assoc.} & \textcircled{2} \text{ H-commutativity} \\ M^3 \xrightarrow{\mu_{12}} M^2 & M^2 \xrightarrow{\mu_1} M^2 \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow & \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ M^2 \xrightarrow{\mu_2} M & M \xrightarrow{\mu_1} M \end{array}$$

Ex: ① Each (top) monoid is an H-ass. (H-comm) H-space.

② Loop spaces with concatenation,

③ Labelled configuration spaces  $C(R^n; X)$ ,

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{[red]} \quad \text{[green]} \\ \cdot \quad \cdot \\ \longleftarrow \quad \longrightarrow \\ \text{[red+green]} \end{array}$$

based space; if a label reaches the back, the particle disappears.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Moduli spaces of surfaces} \quad \coprod_{g \geq 0} M_{g,1}. \\ \text{[red]} \quad \text{[green]} \quad \text{[blue]} \\ \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \\ \longleftarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \text{[red+green+blue]} \end{array}$$

Prob: H-spaces have a lot of structure:

①  $\Omega_n(M)$  has an algebra structure by

$$\begin{array}{c} \Omega_n(M) \times \Omega_n(M) \xrightarrow{\mu} \Omega_n(M) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} H_n(M) \\ (\text{pointwise product}) \end{array}$$

• associative if  $M$  H-ass.  
• gr.-comm if  $M$  H-comm.

② For each based  $X$ , the set  $[X, M]_n$  is a unital magma.

(true monoid if  $M$  H-ass.) In particular:

- $\Omega_n(M) = [S^1, M]_n$  is a discrete monoid for  $M$  H-ass.
- $\Omega_n(M) = [S^1, M]_n$  has two unital products which interchange  
 $\Rightarrow$  Both agree and are commutative (Eckmann - Hilton)  
 $\Rightarrow \Omega_n(M)$  abelian. ⚠ This only affects the path component of  $\pi_1$ !

③  $S^0, S^1, S^3, S^7$  are the only spheres which carry an H-space structure.  
(Adams 1962)

Q1: Is each H-space  $\simeq \Omega(\text{some } X)$ ?

NO! Need at least " $\pi_0 M = \pi_1 X$  group"  
(called group-like)

Q2: Is each group-like H-space  $\simeq \Omega(\text{some } X)$ ?

NO! E.g.  $S^3 \not\simeq \Omega X$

(would need  $H^0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}[i], |i|=8$   
which is not possible, Steenrod 1962)

→ Need to get better control over the possibilities of multiplying r elements

Observation: The n-fold loop space

$$\Omega^n X \cong \coprod f: I^n \rightarrow X : f(\partial I) = \{*\}$$

has an entire space parametrising r-fold multiplications:

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \quad \boxed{2} \quad \boxed{3} \\ \boxed{4} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \alpha: \boxed{1} \longrightarrow \boxed{2} \\ \beta: \boxed{1} \longrightarrow \boxed{3} \\ \gamma: \boxed{2} \longrightarrow \boxed{3} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \quad \boxed{2} \quad \boxed{3} \\ \boxed{4} \end{array}$$

space of them:  $\mathcal{C}_2(3)$

dimension # bases

Q3: Is every group-like "space with multiplications" parametrised by  $\mathcal{C}_n^0$  on  $\Omega^n(\text{some } X)$ ?

YES! (Recognition principle, May 1972)

Ex: ① Each top monoid is a  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -alg.

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3$$

②  $\Omega^n X$  is a  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -alg.

(as in the picture above)

③  $C(R^n; X)$  is a  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -alg.

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \quad \boxed{2} \quad \boxed{3} \\ \boxed{4} \quad \boxed{5} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \cdot \boxed{2}, \boxed{3} \cdot \boxed{4}, \boxed{5} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \cdot \boxed{2}, \boxed{3} \cdot \boxed{4} \end{array}$$

( $A_\infty$ -spaces)  $\cong (\mathcal{C}_n$ -algebras)

There are different operads modelling  $A_\infty$ -spaces, called  $A_\infty$ -opd.

Ex: ④ Infinite loop spaces and spectra

Idea: Recall  $\mathcal{C}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 \hookrightarrow \dots$

What if  $M$  is an algebra over all  $\mathcal{C}_n$  compatibly?

Ex: ① Each abelian top. monoid, by

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C}_n(r) \times M \longrightarrow M \\ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \mapsto x_1 + \dots + x_r \end{array}$$

②  $C(R^n; X)$

We define the operad  $\mathcal{C}_{\infty} = \varinjlim \mathcal{C}_n$  and want to state

$$\text{If } M \text{ is a group-like } \mathcal{C}_n\text{-algebra, then } M \cong \varinjlim \mathcal{C}_n M$$

More formally, this should mean that there is

$$\left( M \cong \varinjlim \mathcal{C}_n M, \Sigma^\infty M \cong \varinjlim \mathcal{C}_n \Sigma^\infty M \right) =: \Sigma^\infty M \text{ "}\Omega\text{-spectrum"}$$

Prob: We have functors

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}^0, \mathcal{B}^1, \mathcal{B}^2, \dots: (\mathcal{C}_n\text{-alg}) \longrightarrow \text{Top}_n \\ \text{by } \mathcal{B}^0(E) = \varinjlim_i \Omega^i E \end{array}$$

and the spectrum  $E = \varinjlim_i \mathcal{B}^i(E)$ , and there are

$$\text{natural identifications } \mathcal{B}^0 M \cong \varinjlim \mathcal{B}^i M$$

→ We get a functor

$$\mathcal{B}^0: (\mathcal{C}_n\text{-alg}) \longrightarrow \text{Sp}$$

so each  $\mathcal{C}_m$ -alg. is a  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -alg.

Ex: ① Each top monoid is a  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -alg.

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3$$

②  $\Omega^n X$  is a  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -alg.

(as in the picture above)

③  $C(R^n; X)$  is a  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -alg.

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \quad \boxed{2} \quad \boxed{3} \\ \boxed{4} \quad \boxed{5} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \cdot \boxed{2}, \boxed{3} \cdot \boxed{4}, \boxed{5} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \cdot \boxed{2}, \boxed{3} \cdot \boxed{4} \end{array}$$

( $A_\infty$ -spaces)  $\cong (\mathcal{C}_n$ -algebras)

There are different operads modelling  $A_\infty$ -spaces, called  $A_\infty$ -opd.

Ex: ④ Infinite loop spaces and spectra

Idea: Recall  $\mathcal{C}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 \hookrightarrow \dots$

What if  $M$  is an algebra over all  $\mathcal{C}_n$  compatibly?

Ex: ① Each abelian top. monoid, by

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C}_n(r) \times M \longrightarrow M \\ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \mapsto x_1 + \dots + x_r \end{array}$$

②  $C(R^n; X)$

We define the operad  $\mathcal{C}_{\infty} = \varinjlim \mathcal{C}_n$  and want to state

$$\text{If } M \text{ is a group-like } \mathcal{C}_n\text{-algebra, then } M \cong \varinjlim \mathcal{C}_n M$$

More formally, this should mean that there is

$$\left( M \cong \varinjlim \mathcal{C}_n M, \Sigma^\infty M \cong \varinjlim \mathcal{C}_n \Sigma^\infty M \right) =: \Sigma^\infty M \text{ "}\Omega\text{-spectrum"}$$

Prob: We have functors

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}^0, \mathcal{B}^1, \mathcal{B}^2, \dots: (\mathcal{C}_n\text{-alg}) \longrightarrow \text{Top}_n \\ \text{by } \mathcal{B}^0(E) = \varinjlim_i \Omega^i E \end{array}$$

and the spectrum  $E = \varinjlim_i \mathcal{B}^i(E)$ , and there are

$$\text{natural identifications } \mathcal{B}^0 M \cong \varinjlim \mathcal{B}^i M$$

→ We get a functor

$$\mathcal{B}^0: (\mathcal{C}_n\text{-alg}) \longrightarrow \text{Sp}$$

so each  $\mathcal{C}_m$ -alg. is a  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -alg.

Ex: ① If  $X$  is connected, then

$$C(R^n; X) \cong \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty X$$

② Consider  $M = C(R^n; S^k) = \coprod_{n \geq 0} M_n$ . Then  $M$  is a based space,

$$\lambda: \{0\} = \mathcal{C}_0(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_0$$

is the group completion.

$$\text{Then } \lambda: \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M_0$$

is the weak equivalence.

$$\text{Then } \lambda: \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M_0$$

is the weak equivalence.

$$\text{Then } \lambda: \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M_0$$

is the weak equivalence.

$$\text{Then } \lambda: \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M_0$$

is the weak equivalence.

$$\text{Then } \lambda: \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M_0$$

is the weak equivalence.

$$\text{Then } \lambda: \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M_0$$

is the weak equivalence.

$$\text{Then } \lambda: \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M_0$$

is the weak equivalence.

$$\text{Then } \lambda: \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty M_0$$